Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/009,002	BUKH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachariah Lucas	1648
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Zachariah Lucas.	(3)	
(2) <u>Nancy W. Vensko</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 June 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: NA		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
The Examiner called Applicant to request permission to amend the was agreed that a sentence describing the priority information wo		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
52 6/12/00		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/009 002	BUKH ET AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Zachariah Lucas	1648
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) Zachariah Lucas.	(3)	
(2) Nancy W. Vensko.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 May 2006	Time:	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes X	] Applicant's representative) No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 1, 17, 18		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	applicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims would be in allowable condition if the following amendments were made: In claim 1, the Examiner indicated that the phrase "is encoded by" in line 3 of the claim should be replaced by - - includes- - to clarify that the 3'UTR of the virus includes each of the three domains identified on pages 25-26 of the application, rather than including only the newly identified 3' 259 nucleotides.

In claims 17 and 18, the Examiner indicated that the claim language "a suitable amount of" should be cancelled as it is not clear what the amount should be suitable for.

The Applicant agreed to the amendments, and indicated that an Examiner's Amendment would be acceptable.